

1 E. MARTIN ESTRADA
United States Attorney
2 CAMERON L. SCHROEDER
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Chief, National Security Division
KHALDOUN SHOBAKI
4 Assistant United States Attorney,
Chief, Cyber & Intellectual Property Crimes Section
5 JONATHAN GALATZAN
Assistant United States Attorney
6 Chief, Asset Forfeiture and Recovery Section
MAXWELL COLL (Cal. Bar No. 312651)
7 Assistant United States Attorney
National Cryptocurrency Enforcement Team
8 Computer Crime & Intellectual Property Section
312 North Spring Street
9 Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 894-1785
10 Facsimile: (213) 894-0142
E-mail: Maxwell.Coll@usdoj.gov

11 JESSICA PECK
12 Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice
Computer Crime & Intellectual Property Section
13 1301 New York Ave., N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
17
18 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
20
21 Plaintiff,
22
23 v.
24 \$1,168,679 IN FUNDS ASSOCIATED
WITH TWO STABLECOIN ADDRESSES
ON THE ETHEREUM NETWORK,
25
26 Defendant.
27
28

No. 2:24-cv-4640

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR FORFEITURE

18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A) and (C)

1 Plaintiff United States of America brings this claim against
2 defendant \$1,168,679 in Funds Associated with Two Stablecoin
3 Addresses on the Ethereum Network (the "defendant funds"), and
4 alleges as follows:¹

5 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6 1. Plaintiff United States of America brings this in rem
7 forfeiture action pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A) and (C).

8 2. This Court has jurisdiction over civil actions commenced by
9 the United States of America under 28 U.S.C. § 1345 and over
10 forfeiture actions under 28 U.S.C. § 1355(a).

11 3. Venue lies in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
12 § 1355(b)(1) because acts or omissions giving rise to the forfeiture
13 occurred in this District. Venue also lies in this District pursuant
14 to 28 U.S.C. § 1395(c) because the defendant funds are presently
15 located in this District in a government-controlled account.

16 **PERSONS AND ENTITIES**

17 4. The plaintiff is the United States of America.

18 5. The defendant funds are the U.S. dollar equivalent of USDC,
19 which is a "stablecoin" type of virtual currency pegged to the U.S.
20 dollar as discussed below, associated with the following virtual
21 currency addresses on the Ethereum network:

22 a. 1,108,586 USDC associated with a virtual currency
23 address ending in "16ce8" (the "Virtual Currency Address 1"); and

24 b. 60,093 USDC associated with a virtual currency address
25 ending in "eBDca" (the "Virtual Currency Address 2," and together
26 with Virtual Currency Address 1, the "Virtual Currency Addresses").
27

28 ¹ All dates set forth in this Complaint are on or about the
dates indicated, and all amounts or sums are approximate.

1 6. On February 2, 2023, the Department of Justice caused the
2 freeze of the USDC in Virtual Currency Address 1 and Virtual Currency
3 Address 2, and on August 18, 2023, a seizure warrant was obtained
4 which resulted in the transfer of the defendant funds to the Federal
5 Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") on September 20, 2023.

6 7. The defendant funds are held in the custody of the United
7 States Marshals Service, where the defendant funds shall remain
8 subject to this Court's jurisdiction pending the resolution of this
9 action.

10 8. The interests of the victim Harmony, a U.S.-based open-
11 source blockchain network for decentralized virtual currency
12 applications, and other victims identified further herein may be
13 adversely affected by these proceedings.

14 **BASIS FOR FORFEITURE**

15 9. The defendant funds are subject to forfeiture pursuant to
16 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A) and (C) because they constitute traceable
17 proceeds of and were involved in money laundering offenses pertaining
18 to the theft of virtual currency by the North Korean military hacking
19 group known as, among other names, the Lazarus Group and Advanced
20 Persistent Threat 38 ("APT38").

21 **I. Definitions and Background Related to Virtual Currency**

22 10. **Virtual Currency:** Virtual currencies are digital tokens of
23 value circulated over the internet as substitutes for traditional
24 fiat currency. Virtual currencies are not issued by any government
25 or bank like traditional fiat currencies, such as the U.S. dollar,
26 but rather are generated and controlled through computer software.
27 Bitcoin (or "BTC") and ether ("ETH") are currently the most well-
28 known virtual currencies in use.

1 11. **Stablecoins**: Stablecoins, while also a type of virtual
2 currency, are unlike BTC and ETH because stablecoins are pegged to a
3 commodity's price, such as gold, or to a fiat currency, such as the
4 U.S. dollar. Stablecoins achieve their price stability via
5 collateralization (backing) or through algorithmic mechanisms of
6 buying and selling the reference asset or its derivatives. USDC is a
7 popular stablecoin. It is pegged to the U.S. dollar.

8 12. **Virtual Currency Address**: Virtual currency addresses are
9 the particular virtual locations to which such currencies are sent
10 and received. A virtual currency address is analogous to a bank
11 account number and is represented as a string of letters and numbers.

12 13. **Private Key**: Each virtual currency address is controlled
13 through the use of a unique corresponding private key, a
14 cryptographic equivalent of a password, which is needed to access the
15 address. Only the holder of an address's private key can authorize a
16 transfer of virtual currency from that address to another address.

17 14. **Virtual Currency Wallet**: There are various types of virtual
18 currency wallets, including software wallets, hardware wallets, and
19 paper wallets. The virtual currency wallets at issue for the
20 purposes of this complaint are software wallets (i.e., a software
21 application that interfaces with the virtual currency's specific
22 blockchain and generates and stores a user's addresses and private
23 keys). A virtual currency wallet allows users to store, send, and
24 receive virtual currencies. A virtual currency wallet can hold many
25 virtual currency addresses at the same time.

26 15. Wallets that are hosted by third parties are referred to as
27 "hosted wallets" because the third party retains a customer's funds
28 until the customer is ready to transact with those funds.

1 Conversely, wallets that allow users to exercise total, independent
2 control over their funds are often called “unhosted” wallets.

3 16. **Blockchain**: Many virtual currencies publicly record all of
4 their transactions on what is known as a blockchain. The blockchain
5 is essentially a distributed public ledger, run by the decentralized
6 network of computers, containing an immutable and historical record
7 of every transaction utilizing that blockchain’s technology. The
8 blockchain can be updated multiple times per hour and records every
9 virtual currency address that has ever received that virtual currency
10 and maintains records of every transaction and all the known balances
11 for each virtual currency address. There are different blockchains
12 for different types of virtual currencies.

13 17. **Blockchain Explorer**: These explorers are online tools that
14 operate as a blockchain search engine allowing users the ability to
15 search for and review transactional data for any addresses on a
16 particular blockchain. A blockchain explorer is software that uses
17 APIs² and blockchain nodes to draw data from a blockchain and uses a
18 database to arrange and present the data to a user in a searchable
19 format.

20 18. **Decentralized Finance (DeFi)**: Decentralized Finance, or
21 DeFi, is an umbrella term for financial services on public
22 blockchains, primarily the Ethereum network. The Ethereum network’s
23 native virtual currency is ETH. Ethereum was the first blockchain
24 that offered various decentralized services within its network. To
25 make these services possible, the Ethereum network allows other
26

27 ² APIs stands for application programming interfaces, which are a set
28 of definitions and protocols for building and integrating application
software.

1 tokens besides ETH to run within the network. These tokens are known
2 as ERC-20 tokens.

3 19. DeFi is a term used to describe a financial system that
4 operates without the need for traditional, centralized
5 intermediaries. Instead, DeFi platforms offer an alternative
6 financial system that is open for anyone to use, and that allows
7 centralized intermediaries to be replaced by decentralized
8 applications (or dApps). With DeFi, one can do most of the things
9 that banks support--earn interest, borrow, lend, buy insurance, trade
10 derivatives, trade assets, etc.--but it is faster than using
11 traditional banks and does not require paperwork or a third party.
12 DeFi is global, peer-to-peer (i.e., directly between two people
13 rather than routed through a centralized system), pseudonymous, and
14 open to the public.

15 20. **Virtual Currency Bridge**: A blockchain bridge, otherwise
16 known as a cross-chain bridge, connects blockchains and allows users
17 to send virtual currency from one blockchain to the other.

18 21. **Virtual Currency Exchanges (VCEs)**: VCEs are trading and/or
19 storage platforms for virtual currencies, such as BTC and ETH. There
20 are generally two types of VCEs: centralized exchanges and
21 decentralized exchanges, which are also known as "DEXs." Many VCEs
22 (both centralized and DEXs) also store their customers' virtual
23 currency in virtual currency wallets. As previously stated, these
24 wallets can hold multiple virtual currency addresses associated with
25 a user on a VCE's network. Because VCEs act as money services
26 businesses, they are legally required to conduct due diligence of
27 their customers and to have anti-money laundering programs in place
28

1 (to the extent they operate and service customers in the United
2 States).

3 22. **Virtual Currency Mixers**: Virtual-currency mixers (also
4 known as tumblers or mixing services) are software services that
5 allow users, for a fee, to send virtual currency to designated
6 recipients in a manner designed to conceal and obfuscate the source
7 of the virtual currency.

8 23. **Blockchain Analysis**: As previously stated, while the
9 identity of a virtual currency address owner is generally anonymous,
10 law enforcement can identify the owner of a particular virtual
11 currency address by analyzing the blockchain (e.g., the BTC
12 blockchain). The analysis can also reveal additional addresses
13 controlled by the same individual or entity.

14 **II. North Korean Cyberattacks and Virtual Currency Heists**

15 24. The Lazarus Group is responsible for numerous high-profile
16 international virtual currency heists. For example, in August 2021,
17 North Korean hackers stole approximately \$90 million in virtual
18 currency from Japan-based virtual currency exchange Liquid. In March
19 2022, North Korean hackers stole over \$600 million in virtual
20 currency from Sky Mavis, the company behind Axie Infinity, a popular
21 blockchain-based play-to-earn video game. In June 2023, North Korean
22 hackers stole approximately \$60 million in virtual currency from
23 Alphapo, a centralized virtual currency payment provider, and
24 approximately \$37 million in virtual currency from CoinsPaid, another
25 virtual currency payment platform.

26 25. As is relevant for the purposes of this complaint, the
27 North Korean cyber hackers initially conducted a cyberattack against
28 Sony Pictures Entertainment in the Central District of California in

1 November and December 2014. In February 2021, the government
2 unsealed an indictment charging three members of the North Korean
3 military who were part of this ongoing conspiracy in Central District
4 of California Case No. 2:20-CR-614-MCS. The North Korean hackers who
5 stole virtual currency from Liquid, Sky Mavis, and Harmony are part
6 of the same ongoing conspiracy and have regularly used U.S.-based
7 computer infrastructure, including computers located in the Central
8 District of California, to facilitate intrusions that are part of
9 these virtual currency heists.

10 **III. Horizon Bridge Hack and Laundering of the Defendant Funds**

11 26. Harmony is a U.S.-based open-source blockchain network for
12 decentralized virtual currency applications. Harmony developed
13 Horizon, a virtual currency bridge, which connects blockchains and
14 allows users to send virtual currency from one blockchain to another.

15 27. On June 23, 2022, North Korean hackers stole approximately
16 \$105 million worth of virtual currency from Harmony's virtual
17 currency bridge, Horizon. The North Korea hackers used a malware
18 campaign dubbed "TraderTraitor" by the United States government. As
19 part of the campaign, the hackers promoted malicious applications
20 that resembled virtual currency trading or price-prediction tools,
21 but in reality, those tools were malware used to infiltrate the
22 Horizon bridge infrastructure.

23 **A. Laundering of Virtual Currency, Which Included the** 24 **Defendant Funds, Through Tornado Cash**

25 28. The North Korean hackers infiltrated the Horizon bridge
26 service and funneled batches of ETH through a virtual currency mixer
27
28

1 known as Tornado Cash.³ Tornado Cash operated on the Ethereum
2 blockchain and indiscriminately facilitated anonymous transactions by
3 obfuscating their origin, destination, and counterparties, with no
4 attempt to determine their origin.

5 29. A hacker-controlled Ethereum address ending in "DeD00"
6 served as an initial consolidation point for stolen funds following
7 the Horizon Bridge theft, and received approximately 85,870 stolen
8 ETH⁴ that originated from the Horizon bridge. This address then sent
9 funds on June 27, 28, 29, and 30, and July 1, 2022, to several
10 Ethereum addresses, which subsequently funneled a total of 85,700 ETH
11 into the Tornado Cash mixer. On each date, funds were further broken
12 down into smaller batches before being sent through Tornado Cash.
13 Each batch of ETH contained a value between \$6.4 million and \$8.2
14 million in virtual currency in increments of 100 ETH. (These
15 valuations in U.S. dollars have been calculated based on the price of
16 ETH at the time of the transactions.) In total, hackers sent 14
17 batches of funds through Tornado Cash to obfuscate the tracing of
18 these transactions.

19 **B. Use of Dormant Addresses and Railgun Privacy Protocol To**
20 **Further Launder The Defendant Funds**

21 30. North Korean hackers next withdrew the funds from Tornado
22 Cash and transferred the virtual currency to various Ethereum
23 addresses, where it sat dormant for months. From July 3, 2022, until
24

25
26 ³ On August 8, 2022, the U.S. Treasury Department's Office of
27 Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC") sanctioned Tornado Cash because it
28 had been used to launder more than \$7 billion worth of virtual
currency, including over \$455 million stolen by the Lazarus Group.

⁴ On June 27, 2022, 1 ETH traded at \$1,191.27 and on July 1,
2022, 1 ETH traded at \$1,057.57.

1 January 11, 2023, the ETH sat dormant, largely unspent in
2 approximately 149 virtual currency addresses.

3 31. On January 11, 2023, hackers initiated two transactions of
4 ETH from the previously dormant virtual currency addresses through
5 Railgun, a so-called privacy protocol built on the Ethereum
6 blockchain. After these initial test transactions, on or about
7 January 13 and 14, 2023, hackers transferred a wave of seven batches
8 of post-Tornado Cash ETH from 70 different Ethereum addresses into
9 the Railgun protocol. The total amount of ETH transferred into the
10 Railgun protocol was 41,792.47 ETH, which at the time held a value of
11 over \$60 million.

12 **C. Conversion of Defendant Funds from ETH to BTC**

13 32. North Korean hackers then transferred ETH to three virtual
14 currency exchanges to convert the stolen ETH into BTC. On January 13
15 and 14, 2023, North Korea-affiliated BTC addresses received and sent
16 1,656.13 BTC, worth approximately \$33.8 million. Funds were
17 subsequently removed from these BTC addresses between on or about
18 January 15 and January 20, 2023. Approximately 559.9997 BTC was
19 reconsolidated at a single virtual currency address.

20 **D. Conversion of Defendant Funds from BTC to Stablecoin USDC**

21 33. After reconsolidating (this time in the form of BTC), the
22 hackers converted the BTC to USDC. On February 1 and 2, 2023, a BTC
23 address ending in "4slaf" sent approximately 50.10 BTC (valued at
24 approximately \$1,184,217.00 at the time of the transaction) to a
25 virtual currency bridge in 12 separate transactions. The blockchain
26 bridge was used to convert the BTC (proceeds of the Horizon bridge
27 cyberattack) into USDC.

1 34. Hackers bridged the BTC funds to USDC, transferring the
2 proceeds to the Virtual Currency Addresses.

3 **E. Seizure and Transfer of Defendant Funds**

4 35. On February 2, 2023, the Department of Justice caused the
5 freeze of the USDC associated with the Virtual Currency Addresses.
6 The Department of Justice subsequently obtained a federal seizure
7 warrant for the defendant funds and caused the transfer of the
8 defendant funds to the United States.

9 36. The defendant funds are currently in the possession of the
10 United States government.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(18 U.S.C. § 981(a) (1) (A))

37. Paragraphs 1 through 36 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

38. The defendant funds are property involved in, or traceable to property involved in, a transaction in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a) (1) (A) (i), 1956(a) (1) (B) (i), 1956(a) (2) (A), 1956(a) (2) (B) (i), 1956(h), and 1957.

39. For purposes of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956 and 1957, "specified unlawful activity" includes, among other things, "an offense under . . . section 1030 (relating to computer fraud and abuse)." 18 U.S.C. § 1956(c) (7) (D).

40. As set forth above:

a. the defendant funds are property involved in, or are traceable to property involved in, a financial transaction or attempted financial transaction involving the proceeds of an offense of accessing a computer to defraud and obtain value, which transaction or attempted transaction was conducted with the knowledge that the property involved in the transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity and with the intent to promote the carrying on of specified unlawful activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a) (1) (A) (i);

b. the defendant funds are property involved in, or are traceable to property involved in, a financial transaction or attempted financial transaction involving the proceeds of an offense of accessing a computer to defraud and obtain value, which transaction or attempted transaction was conducted with the knowledge that the property involved in the transaction or attempted

1 transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful
2 activity and that the transaction or attempted transaction was
3 designed in whole or in part to conceal or disguise the nature, the
4 location, the source, the ownership, or the control of the proceeds
5 of specified unlawful activity in violation of 18 U.S.C.

6 § 1956(a) (1) (B) (i);

7 c. the defendant funds are property involved in, or are
8 traceable to property involved in, the transportation, transmission,
9 or transfer, or the attempted transportation, transmission, or
10 transfer, of a monetary instrument or funds from a place in the
11 United States to or through a place outside the United States with
12 the intent to promote the carrying on of an offense of accessing a
13 computer to defraud and obtain value in violation of 18 U.S.C.

14 § 1956(a) (2) (A);

15 d. the defendant funds are property involved in, or are
16 traceable to property involved in, the transportation, transmission,
17 or transfer, or the attempted transportation, transmission, or
18 transfer, of a monetary instrument or funds to a place outside the
19 United States from or through a place inside the United States, with
20 the knowledge that the monetary instruments or funds represented the
21 proceeds of some form of unlawful activity and with the knowledge
22 that the transportation, transmission, or transfer, or attempted
23 transportation, transmission, or transfer, was designed in whole or
24 in part to conceal or disguise the nature, the location, the source,
25 the ownership, or the control of the proceeds of an offense of
26 accessing a computer to defraud and obtain value in violation of 18
27 U.S.C. § 1956(a) (2) (B) (i);

1 e. the defendant funds are property involved in, or are
2 traceable to property involved in, a monetary transaction or
3 attempted monetary transaction, affecting interstate or foreign
4 commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than
5 \$10,000 derived from an offense of accessing a computer to defraud
6 and obtain value, which transaction or attempted transaction was
7 conducted with the knowledge that the property involved was
8 criminally derived in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1957; and

9 f. the defendant funds are property involved in, or
10 traceable to property involved in, a conspiracy in violation of 18
11 U.S.C. § 1956(h). Specifically, the defendant funds are property
12 involved in, or traceable to property involved in, a conspiracy to
13 commit the violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(A)(i), 1956(B)(i),
14 1956(a)(2)(A), 1956(a)(2)(B)(i), and 1957 set forth in subparagraphs
15 40(a) through (e) above.

16 41. For each of the above reasons, the defendant funds are
17 subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A).

18 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

19 **(18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C))**

20 42. Paragraphs 1 through 35 are incorporated by reference as if
21 fully set forth herein.

22 43. The defendant funds are property that constitutes, or is
23 derived from, proceeds traceable to an offense of accessing a
24 computer to defraud and obtain value, which is a violation of 18
25 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(4), or a conspiracy to commit such an offense.

26 44. The defendant funds are therefore subject to forfeiture
27 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C).
28

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff the United States of America prays:

(a) that due process issue to enforce the forfeiture of the defendant funds;

(b) that due notice be given to all interested parties to appear and show cause why forfeiture should not be decreed;

(c) that this Court decree forfeiture of the defendant funds to the United States of America for disposition according to law; and

(d) for such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper, together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: June 4, 2024

E. MARTIN ESTRADA
United States Attorney

CAMERON L. SCHROEDER
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, National Security Division

KHALDOUN SHOBAKI
Assistant United States Attorney,
Chief, Cyber & Intellectual Property
Crimes Section

/s/ Maxwell Coll
MAXWELL COLL
Assistant United States Attorney
National Cryptocurrency Enforcement
Team, Computer Crime & Intellectual
Property Section

JESSICA PECK
Trial Attorney,
U.S. Department of Justice
Computer Crime & Intellectual
Property Section
1301 New York Ave., N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005

Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VERIFICATION

I, Justin Vallese, hereby declare that:

1. I am a Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

2. I have read the above Complaint for Forfeiture and know the contents thereof.

3. The information contained in the Complaint is either known to me personally, was furnished to be my official government sources, or was obtained pursuant to legal process. I am informed and believe that the allegations set out in the Complaint are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 3, 2024, at Los Angeles, California.


Justin Vallese